UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,977	03/27/2006	Lambertus Gerhardus Olde Hanter	12903/010	8835
	7590 11/25/200 ilson & Lione/Ann Art	EXAMINER		
524 South Main Street			CHOI, LING SIU	
Suite 200 Ann Arbor, MI	48104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/568,977	OLDE HANTER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ling-Siu Choi	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 9-19 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	drawn from consideration. nd/or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority documen	nents have been received. Hents have been received in A Poriority documents have been Treau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed 08/19/2008. Claims 1-8 were canceled and claims 9-19 are now pending. In view of the Amendment, the rejections of claims 9-19 are maintained.

Claim Analysis

2. Summary of Claim 9:

A process for enhancing the melt strength of polypropylene comprising the steps of:			
Α	mixing 100 parts per weight (ppw) of the polypropylene with		
	at least 0.1-8 ppw of an oligomer ofmaleimide or an oligomer of		
	a maleimide derivative to form a mixture,		
В	reacting said mixture at a temperature between 150° C and 300° C		
wherein said mixture is substantially absent of any peroxide			

Summary of Claim 13:

A composition , which is substantially free from peroxide, comprising		
Α	100 parts per weight (ppw) of polypropylene	
В	0.1-8 ppw of an oligomer ofmaleimide or an oligomer of a maleimide derivative or	
	a mixture of 0.1-8 ppw of a monomer of maleimide or a derivative thereof and a	
	<u>base</u>	
wherein said mixture is substantially absent of any peroxide		

Art Unit: 1796

Summary of Claim 15:

A polypropylene which is substantially free from peroxide

obtained from the composition of **claim 13** with enhanced melt strength, which is at least 1.5 times higher than the melt strength of the corresponding non-modified polypropylene

Claim Rejections

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishio et al. (US 5,494,948).

Application/Control Number: 10/568,977

Art Unit: 1796

Nishio et al. disclose a mica-reinforced propylene resin composition obtained by heating and melting a mixture consisting essentially of (A) 40 to 95 parts by weight of a crystalline polypropylene, (B) 60 to 5 parts by weight of mica treated with an organosilane compound, (C) a bismaleimide compound in an amount of 0.01 to 2.0 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of the sum of components (A) and (B), and (D) an organic peroxide, wherein the organic peroxide is present in an amount which falls within the range of from 0.001 to 0.05 part by weight per 100 parts by weight of the mixture (A) and (B) and the heating and melting treatment is carried out at a temperature of from 210°C to 290°C (claims 1 and 6-7). Nishio et al. further disclose that the bismaleimide can be 4-methyl-m-phenylenebismaleimide which is derived from citraconic acid (col. 2, lines 52-67). Thus, the present claims are anticipated by the disclosure of Nishio et al.

Page 4

6. Claims 9-10, 13-14, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cai et al. (US 2004/0242779 A1).

Cai et al. disclose a modified blend being obtained by dynamically vulcanizing a blend comprising at least one propylene resin in an amount from about 10 to 70 wt% and at least one ethylene/alpha-olefin/non-conjugated diene elastomer in the presence of a curing system which comprises a free radical initiator, a first co-agent comprising diene-containing polymers with a 1,2-vinyl content greater than about 50% by weight, and a second co-agent comprising multifunctional maleimides containing at least two imide groups, wherein the free radical initiator comprises at least one organic peroxide

Art Unit: 1796

in an amount of about 0.001 to 2 wt%; the first co-gent is in an amount of from about 0.1 to 10 wt%; the second co-agent comprises N,N'-m-phenylene dimaleimide in an amount of about 0.1 to 10% by weight of the modified blend ([0016]-[0018]; [0027]; [0035]; claims 1, 8, and 10). Cai et al. further disclose that the extrusion temperature is 205°C ([0068]). Cai et al. furthermore disclose that the blend are useful in automotive and other articles, such as gaskets, weatherseals, cup holders, and air bag covers and can also be used in machine parts, electrical parts, cables, hoses, belts and toys ([0060]). Thus, the present claims are anticipated by the disclosure of Cai et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

7. Claims 15 -16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nishio et al. (US 5,494,948).

Nishio et al. disclose a propylene resin obtained by heating and melting a mixture consisting essentially of (A) 40 to 95 parts by weight of a crystalline polypropylene, (B) 60 to 5 parts by weight of mica treated with an organosilane compound, (C) a bismaleimide compound in an amount of 0.01 to 2.0 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of the sum of components (A) and (B), and (D) an organic peroxide, wherein the organic peroxide is present in an amount which falls within the range of from 0.001 to 0.05 part by weight per 100 parts by weight of the mixture (A) and (B) and the heating and melting treatment is carried out at a temperature of from 210°C to 290°C (claims 1 and 6-7). However, Nishio et al. are silent on the requirement of the melt strength enhanced by 1.5 times. In view of the polypropylene resin obtained by the substantial

identical process, the polypropylene resin would possess the claimed enhanced melt strength. Since PTO does not have proper means to conduct experiments, the burden of proof is now shifted to applicants to show otherwise. *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977); *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).

8. Claims 15 -16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Cai et al. (US 2004/0242779 A1).

Cai et al. disclose a propylene resin in an amount from about 10 to 70 wt% comprising at least one ethylene/α-olefin/non-conjugated diene elastomer and a curing system which comprises a free radical initiator, a first co-agent comprising diene-containing polymers with a 1,2-vinyl content greater than about 50% by weight, and a second co-agent comprising multifunctional maleimides containing at least two imide groups, wherein the free radical initiator comprises at least one <u>organic peroxide in an amount of about 0.001 to 2 wt%</u>; the first co-gent is in an amount of from about 0.1 to 10 wt%; the second co-agent comprises N,N'-m-phenylene dimaleimide in an amount of about 0.1 to 10% by weight of the modified blend ([0016]-[0018]; [0027]; [0035]; claims 1 and 8-10). Cai et al. further disclose that the blend are useful in automotive and other articles, such as gaskets, weatherseals, cup holders, and air bag covers and can also be used in machine parts, electrical parts, cables, hoses, belts and toys ([0060]). However, Cai et al. are silent on the requirement of the melt strength enhanced by 1.5 times. In view of the polypropylene resin obtained by the substantial identical process,

Art Unit: 1796

the polypropylene resin would possess the claimed enhanced melt strength. Since PTO does not have proper means to conduct experiments, the burden of proof is now shifted to applicants to show otherwise. *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977); *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 08/19/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

"Nishio fails to disclose a polypropylene composition that is substantially free of or absent the presence of peroxide [I]. Rather Nishio purposely adds peroxide to the propylene resin composition (col. 3, lines 20-23, col. 3, lines 30-35, Example 1 - col. 5, lines 55-57). Furthermore, the propylene resin composition of Nishio includes a significant amount of mica treated with an organosilane compound (Claim 1). One skilled in the art of polymers will realize that the addition of mica will change or alter the properties exhibited by the formulated resin [II]. Nishio also fails to disclose the use of a maleimide oligomer derivative with a base or the use of a maleimide oligomer derived from biscitraconic acid [III]. Rather Nishio only discloses the use of a simple bismaleimide compound (col. 2, lines 54-67, Formula I, Claim 1)."

Referring to Argument [I], it is noted that "substantially free" and substantially in the absence" is defined as that "less than 0.01 wt% of the indicated component is present in the composition (based on the total weight of the composition)" (page 2, lines

25-27 of the present Specification). Nishio et al. disclose that the amount of the organic peroxide is present within the range of from 0.001 to 0.05 part by weight per 100 parts by weight of the mixture (A) and (B) (claim 6).

Referring to Argument [II], the present claims do not exclude the use of mica.

Referring to Argument [III], it is noted that Nishio et al. teach the use of bismaleimide which reads on "maleimide oligomer."

"Cai fails to disclose a polypropylene composition comprised of polypropylene reacted with a maleimide oligomer derivative. Rather Cai discloses a thermoplastic elastomeric blend of a propylene resin with diene-containing polymers, multifunctional acrylates or maleimides, and a free radical initiator (Claim 1). The propylene resin in Cai is only present in the elastomeric blend in an amount preferably ranging from about 12% to 55% (paragraph 0027) [IV].

Cai further fails to disclose a polypropylene composition that is substantially free of or absent the presence of any peroxides **[V]**. Rather in Cai, the free radical initiator is defined to preferably be one or more organic peroxides (paragraph 0035, Claim 10). Thus Cai purposely adds peroxide to the thermoplastic elastomeric blend."

Referring to Argument [IV], Attention is drawn to Claim 9, wherein the recitation "mixing 100 parts per weight (ppw) of the polypropylene with at least 0.1-8 ppw of an oligomer of maleimide or an oligomer of a maleimide derivatives" does not provide a limitation on the relative amount (%) of the polypropylene.

Art Unit: 1796

Referring to Argument [V], it is noted that "substantially free" and substantially in the absence" is defined as that "less than 0.01 wt% of the indicated component is present in the composition (based on the total weight of the composition)" (page 2, lines 25-27 of the present Specification). Cai et al. disclose that the amount of the <u>organic peroxide in the range of about 0.001 to 2 wt% (claim 9).</u>

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ling-Siu Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-1098. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

/Ling-Siu Choi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

November 20, 2008

Application/Control Number: 10/568,977

Page 11

Art Unit: 1796